

Disruptor

by

Ken Shoulders ©2006

The present governing method for humanity is based on control of citizens by force. The main source of this force stems from the use of stored chemical energy and is usually employed in the form of explosives used in firearms and bombs. In addition to the actual use of force, modern government uses electromagnetic communication extensively to help direct the application of this force. In the past, a less intense form of force was used as knives, spears and arrows applied with far less benefit from extensive communication.

With the advent of a slight addition to EVO (Exotic Vacuum Object) technology, the basis of which can be reviewed at: www.svn.net/krscfs/, a form of miniature disruptor device capable of both initiation of munitions and destruction of electronic communication and control devices becomes available. Such an event would seriously undermine the capability of governments to control their populace by the form of force presently used. The use of force to forbid the manufacture and distribution of disruptors is a logical first step for governments to take, but one without long-term effectiveness due to the simplicity of creating and distributing disruptors.

The utility of EVO technology for accomplishing the disruption claimed here is based on the ability to sequester charge to such an incredibly high degree that the cluster so formed easily traverses ordinary material with very low interaction. It thus becomes a projectile having an enormous energy density that can be triggered almost at will by setting the original, launched state of excitation whereby any added excitation will effect its disruption and subsequent energy release within the target.

If this energy release is caused to occur after passing through an ammunition shell casing, which adds excitation, an explosion of the entire round will occur with a possibility of this first explosion spreading to other nearby rounds. Under such conditions, it would not be advisable to have a loaded weapon anywhere nearby. The overall statement here is that the bearer of arms carries his own destructive force that can be triggered by a miniscule amount of well-emplaced energy.

In a similar scenario, an electronic control or communication device, being the environmentally sensitive things they are, cannot endure even one such strike of energy without losing the function of a large portion of the nearby nano devices.

The author has long been immersed in this scenario but is still unable to perceive an outcome free of social commotion for a considerable period of time. Trying to bury the subject by just not thinking about it is not likely to work. Any constructive input from others would be appreciated.