

Which Mass?

by

Ken Shoulders ©2006

When confronted daily with the ability of an EVO to reduce both expressed charge and mass and also faced with the incessant chant or chorus of, $E = mc^2$, one must ask the question of *which mass* should we be concerned with as it slides over a range of at least several billion.

The answer implied is that we must use one and only one single particle to accurately apply the law. Still, considering the incredible profusion of the effect of charge clustering, we should know how to cover the questions this effect raises, as the energy laws are supposedly too fundamental to lightly toss them about.

The intended outcome of such a quest is to devise new ways for deriving useful energy from the mass variation effect associated with EVO action. Although I have investigated several such means, I strongly believe I have found neither all nor the best of them.

An allied mystery with the mass reduction effect is how the charge tracks the mass reduction so accurately over such an enormous range. At this point, this tracking is measured as having a range of at least a billion to one. This implies something so fundamental as charge and mass being identically the same with the stated difference being only a convenient definition conjured up by previous physicists and not total reality.

We are at the gateway of answering these fundamental questions but I suspect any new answers will be drowned out by the orthodoxy of the Church of Past Science. A consensus is not really needed here, as mass will do what mass does, but it would be nice to at least have a new agreement between vanguard science workers.

Which mass should we use as we move forward?